1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Joseph L. Oliva, Esq., State Bar No. 113889 Thomas E. Ladegaard, State Bar No. 22849 OLIVA & ASSOCIATES ALC 11770 Bernardo Plaza Court, Suite 350 San Diego, California 92128 Telephone: (858) 385-0491 Facsimile: (858) 385-0499 Attorneys for Plaintiff FDIC as Receiver for LA JOLLA BANK UNITED STATES I	1
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	LA JOLLA BANK, FSB, a Federally) Case No.: 10-cv-0980-WQH (KSC)
11	Chartered Savings Bank,	}
12	Plaintiff,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
13	v.) DISTRICTS AND FOR CERTIFIED) JUDGMENT
14	DANNY TARKANIAN, an individual;	}
15	AMY M. TARKANIAN, an individual; JERRY TARKANIAN, an individual;	DATE: October 22, 2012 TIME: 11:00 a.m.
16	LOIS TARKANIAN, an individual;) LOCATION: Dept. 4
17	GEORGE TARKANIAN, an individual; ZAFRIR DIAMANT, an individual;	}
18	JOSEPHINE DIAMANT, an individual;	}
	DOUGLAS R. JOHNSON, an individual; DEBRA JOHNSON, an individual; and) NO ORAL ARGUMENT – UNLESS
	DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,) REQUESTED BY THE COURT }
20	Defendants.	}
21) -
22	AND RELATED CROSS ACTION.	
23		Ś
24	The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for La Jolla Bank, FSB	
25	("FDIC-R") hereby advises the Court that its Motion to Register the Judgment in the	
26	Eastern District of California and the District of Nevada and for Certified Copies of	
27	the Judgment can be granted as unopposed.	
28	///	
	1	
		CASE NO. 10.CV.0980.WOH (KSC)

Case 3:10-cv-00980-WQH-KSC Document 145 Filed 10/10/12 Page 2 of 5

With an October 22, 2012 hearing date and the October 8th holiday, 1 had Defendants' Opposition calendared as due on October 5th. Defense counsel 2 indicated their understanding that the Opposition was due October 8th, and FDIC-R's 3 counsel responded that no objection would be made if the Opposition was filed by 4 October 8th. (See Ladegaard Declaration.) As of the time of this filing of this Notice, 5 6 no Opposition has been filed. 7 Local Rule 7.1.e.2 requires a party opposing a motion to file an opposition by the due date. Failure to comply with the briefing schedule or local rules "may 8 9 constitute a consent to the granting of a motion" under Local Rule 7.1.f.3.c. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995); United States v. Warren, 601 F.2d 471, 474 10 (9th Cir. 1979). 11 12 In light of Defendants' failure to timely file an opposition to the instant motion 13 or timely request an extension of time, FDIC-R's motion should be granted as 14 unopposed. 15 Dated: October 10, 2012 16 OLIVA & ASSOCIATES, ALC 17 18 By: /s/ Joseph L. Oliva /s/ Thomas E. Ladegaard 19 Joseph L. Oliva, Esq. 20 Thomas E. Ladegaard, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff, 21 FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE 22 CORPORATION as Receiver for LA JOLLA BANK 23 24 25 26 27 28

FDIC-R

2

4 5 6

8 9

7

10

1	1
1	2

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF THOMAS LADEGAARD

I, THOMAS LADEGAARD, declare as follows:

- I am an associate attorney at Oliva & Associates, ALC, counsel for FDIC-R in this matter. I am licensed to practice law in California and before the Southern District of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if asked to do so I would and could testify truthfully thereto in a court of law.
- On October 1, 2012, I received a call from Candace Morlan of the 2. Flangas McMillan office. The purpose of the call was to discuss an extension of the briefing schedule in the Ninth Circuit appeal, on the basis that Mr. Flangas and Mr. Price were tending to family emergencies.
- During the call I advised Ms. Morlan that my office has Defendants' opposition to the Motion to Register Judgment calendared for October 5, 2012. Ms. Morlan responded that her office has the opposition calendared for October 8, 2012. Given the confusion created by the October 8th Columbus Day holiday, I advised Ms. Morlan that I would not object if Defendants filed their opposition on October 8th. Ms. Morlan stated that no extension would be needed because Mr. Price was returning to the office on Thursday, October 4th.
- As of the time this Notice of Non-Opposition is filed, Defendants have not filed any opposition to the instant motion.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10th day of October 2012, at San Diego, California.

/s/ Thomas E. Ladegaard

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 La Jolla Bank, FSB v. Dannv Tarkanian, et al. U.S.D.C. of California, Southern District, Case No. 10-cv-0980-WOH (KSC) 3 I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 4 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 11770 Bernardo Plaza Court. Suite 350, San Diego, California, 92128. 5 On October 10, 2012, I caused the following document(s) described as: 6 NOTICE OF NON-OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO REGISTER 7 JUDGMENT IN FOREIGN DISTRICTS AND FOR CERTIFIED JUDGMENT 8 to be served on the interested parties in this action as follows: 9 Gus W. Flangas Attorneys for Defendants, 10 Kim D. Price Counterclaimants and Third Party Plaintiffs FLANGAS McMILLAN LAW GROUP 11 3275 S. Jones Boulevard, Suite 105 Las Vegas, NV 89146 12 T: 702-307-9500 F: 702-382-9452 13 gwf@flangasmcmillan.com KDP@flangasmcmillan.com 14 Douglas R. Johnson In Pro Per 15 Debra Johnson 929 Calville Estates Court BY U.S. MAIL ONLY 16 Henderson, NV 89015 (Not Registered CM/ECF Users) 17 BY CM/ECF: I caused such document(s) to be served electronically pursuant to the 18 U.S. District Court's Electronic Case Filing Program to be delivered electronically to those parties who have registered to become an E-Filer. 19 BY U.S. MAIL: I caused such envelope to be deposited in the mail at San Diego. 20 California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am readily 21 familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with 22 postage thereon fully prepaid at San Diego, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal 23 cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing 24 in affidavit. 25 BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the 26 person(s) at the electronic notification addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the 27 transmission was unsuccessful. 28

Case 3:10-cv-00980-WQH-KSC Document 145 Filed 10/10/12 Page 5 of 5 BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: The documents were placed in sealed, addressed packaging for overnight delivery on this date in the ordinary course of business, with all charges to be paid by my employer, to be deposited in a facility regularly maintained by the overnight delivery carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight delivery carrier to receive such packages to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. Executed on October 10, 2012, at San Diego, California.